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Overview

• Archive-It at the Georgetown Law Library

• How Archive-It fits within our existing digital preservation activities

• Future library Archive-It collections

• A wish list of feature enhancements
Archive-It @ the Georgetown Law Library

- Archive-It account activated March 2009
- Our priority: to preserve Georgetown Law Center Web content
10.2.08 | Interview with Sandra Day O’Connor
Georgetown Law Dean T. Alexander Aleinikoff speaks with the retired associate Supreme Court justice about her efforts to promote discussions on the topic of judicial independence.

Entire interview

BY TOPIC
Attacks on judicial independence
Need for education
Our Courts project
Selection process for state judges
Current trends

10.2.08 | Interview with Stephen Breyer
Georgetown Law Dean T. Alexander Aleinikoff speaks with the Supreme Court associate justice about judicial independence.

Entire interview

BY TOPIC
Attacks on judicial independence
Public perception of judiciary
Judicial pay
Judicial elections
A Secondary Preservation Priority

To support the library’s existing digital preservation activities, which have been focused on the preservation of Web-published law- and policy-related materials.
Digital Preservation @ the Georgetown Law Library

- The Chesapeake Project Legal Information Archive (www.legalinfoarchive.org)
- A collaborative digital preservation project
- OCLC tools: CONTENTdm, Digital Archive, Connexion Digital Import, Web Harvester
- “Discrete information packages” archived
Reforming redistricting [electronic resource]: why popular initiatives to establish redistricting commissions succeed or fail / by Nicholas Stephanopoulos.

Available online:
Connect to original electronic version
Connect to archived electronic version

Create a permanent link to this item

Description: 31 p. : ill., tables, digital, PDF file.

Note: Title from title screen (viewed Oct. 29, 2007).
"March 2007."

System details:
System requirements: Adobe Acrobat Reader.

Subject:
Apportionment (Election law) -- United States.
Gerrymandering -- United States.
Election districts -- United States.
Reforming Redistricting: Why Popular Initiatives To Establish Redistricting Commissions Succeed or Fail
Reforming redistricting why popular initiatives to establish redistricting commissions succeed or fail

by Nicholas Stephanopoulos; American Constitution Society.

Type: eBook ; Document; English
OCLC: 179690412
Citations: Cite this item | Export to EndNote | Export to RefWorks
Additional Info: Connect to electronic version | Online version

Votelaw, Edward Still's blog on law and politics

"Reforming Redistricting: Why Popular Initiatives To Establish Redistricting Commissions Succeed or Fail. ...... decreasing the black population of the adjacent 4th District, encompassing Chesapeake, from 39.4 percent to 33.6 percent. ..."

www.votelaw.com/blog/archives/redistricting/ - 977k - Cached - Similar pages

The Chesapeake Project : Search Results

Reforming redistricting why popular initiatives to establish redistricting commissions succeed or fail, Apportionment (Election law) -- United States.; ... cdm268901.cdmhost.com/cdm4/results.php?CISOBOX1=apart&CISOFIELD1=CISOSEARCHALL&CISOOP1=any ... - 91k - Cached - Similar pages
Digital Preservation @ the Georgetown Law Library

- Bibliographic treatment of archived content central to OCLC system
- Upload/ingest of individual files using CDI
- Web sites harvested using Web Harvester
- IA’s Heritrix Web crawler used by OCLC
Stand-alone Web site publication
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>Titles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Housing in the nation's capital ... 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Housing in the nation's capital ... 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Housing in the nation's capital ... 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Housing in the nation's capital ... 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Housing in the nation's capital ... 2003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hybrid Web site/PDF serial publication
PDF report with companion Web site
The Chesapeake Project: Benefits

• Discovery and access by users, treatment/display of serials and stand-alone content

• Interface customization

• Ability to harvest Web site “companions” to online publications

• Collaborative licensing with partner libraries
The Chesapeake Project: Disadvantages

- Time-consuming archiving process
- Cost per GB can add up when Web archiving
- Collaborative structure = collection limitations based on project priorities, shared copyright policies must be considered
Future Archive-It Collections @ the Georgetown Law Library

- Curated content for legal subjects and government information
  - Example ideas:
    - Online content cited in Journals
    - Supreme Court tracking
    - Government agency websites
    - International organizations
    - Course content
A Wish List of Archive-It Enhancements

• Display and access flexibility
  o Branding, Chronological navigation
  o Style/display customization
A Wish List of Archive-It Enhancements

• Integration and annotation options
  o Embedding archived content
  o Annotation and context features
  o Collaboration and timeline tracking

• Examples and Inspiration
  o Google Side Wiki
  o Google Wave
  o Fedthread
A Wish List of Archive-It Enhancements

• Access-controlled browse options
  o Allow collection access to authorized users (or to on-site users)
  o Offline browsing to ensure data preservation and meaningful ownership

• Better search and visualization options
  o Post-search filtering (e.g. date, domain)
  o Chronological display or walk-through
Questions & Dialogue

- We'd love to hear what others are doing
- Partnering & dialogue on:
  - content selection
  - interface enhancements
Thank You
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