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women who had severe preeclampsia. Along with providing traditional screenings, the researchers will use imaging of the blood vessels, heart, and brain to study what occurs after pregnancy complications. The researchers also hope to test diet, exercise, and pharmacological interventions in the group.

Meanwhile, an ongoing study funded by the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development aims to identify modifiable factors that mediate cardiovascular disease risk through the first few years postpartum among women who had adverse pregnancy outcomes.

Two recent observational studies from the NHS II cohort suggest that a healthful lifestyle—and particularly maintaining a healthful weight—can mediate the detrimental effects of pregnancy complications on cardiovascular disease and its risk factors. Data on pregnancy complications is also being collected from the Nurses’ Health Study 3 (NHS3) cohort, which may prove even more informative. According to NHS3 principal investigator Jorge E. Chavarro, MD, of the Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, this younger group receives brief questionnaires every 6 months and is surveyed about specific key exposures such as diet and exercise before, during, and after pregnancy. Women who are pregnant or trying receive additional questionnaires. This wasn’t possible with the previous cohort, which is being surveyed only every 2 years.

For now, physicians who study pregnancy complications want women who experience them—and their health care team—understand the increased risks. “Here you are carrying this really significant history, and nobody pays attention to it,” Rana said.

Note: Source references are available online through embedded hyperlinks in the article text.
2 nurses contracted the infection—and 1 later flew on a domestic flight. Amidst public panic, fear spread faster than any virus can replicate. Other high-income countries also have been vulnerable. The venerable Korean health care system, for example, failed to contain MERS after a passenger arrived from Saudi Arabia in 2015, and 186 total cases and 36 deaths ensued. The government struggled to maintain social order while quarantining 17,000 residents. Although seasonal flu on the recent quarantined flights turned out to be far more routine than Ebola or MERS, the incidents revealed the danger of high-velocity travel.

**Ebola in the Congo: Echoes of West Africa**

Meanwhile, a very different outbreak in the DRC is ongoing, where Ebola has—for the 10th time—erupted to threaten the population. The DRC has a weak health system, a history of conflict, and public distrust of government. (As of September 24, there were 151 confirmed or probable cases, including 101 confirmed or probable deaths.) Failures of communication and community engagement have impeded the response.

Although vastly smaller in scope, the episode is reminiscent of the largest Ebola outbreak in history. The 2014-2015 West African epidemic recorded 28,616 cases and 11,310 deaths. The DRC’s contact tracers. Although seasonal flu on the recent quarantined flights turned out to be far more routine than Ebola or MERS, the incidents revealed the danger of high-velocity travel.

**36 Hours to Circumnavigate the Globe**

In 36 hours, an infectious disease can travel from the most remote point on Earth to its most dense population center. Once there, infection can take hold rapidly. Virulent pathogens are circulating globally, whether it’s avian influenza in China, Nipah virus in India, or even yellow fever, and scientists have been calling for years to reduce the overzealous use of antibiotics as drug resistance rises. Disease and pathogens are persistent opportunists, and the world must be as well.

**A Call for Planning and Preparedness**

The CDC’s rapid response to influenza among air passengers—and the WHO’s timely intervention in the DRC—demonstrate the potential for decisive action to contain pathogenic risks. Yet the threat of fast-moving pathogens continues unabated, driven by mass travel, urbanization, human and animal interchange, and climate change. As the world marks the 100th anniversary of the catastrophic 1918 influenza pandemic, we are reminded just how vulnerable humankind can be.

The United States has long assumed leadership in global health security on many fronts, ranging from being the WHO’s largest funder to its world-class biomedical research. It was the first country to mobilize the response to Ebola in West Africa. Acting proactively, the United States can safeguard poorer nations, while protecting US residents at home. Here are 3 priorities to advance health security.

- **Sustainably fund the WHO.** After its dysfunctional response in West Africa, the WHO undertook reforms, including a new health emergencies program, a contingency fund for emergencies, and the Joint External Evaluation under the International Health Regulations. The United States has yet to contribute to the agency’s contingency fund or amply fund the health emergencies program. The Trump administration, moreover, questioned the WHO’s scientific credibility, rejecting its antimicrobial resistance guidelines last year.

- **Reauthorize the Global Health Security Agenda (GHSA).** In 2014, President Obama launched the GHSA, which has grown into an international collaboration of more than 60 countries. The GHSA builds capacity for shoring up health systems and addressing challenges such as antimicrobial resistance and bioterrorism. The Trump administration praised the GHSA but also proposed massive health security cuts, which would force CDC to reduce preparedness support from 49 countries to only 10.

**Global Health Leadership**

Hardening the border and restricting travel and immigration will not stop pathogenic threats. The best protection of US residents is to stop threats at their origin, while supporting the WHO.

The United States must reassert its global leadership. Now is a pivotal moment to strengthen health security, from providing robust support for the GHSA and the Rapid Response Fund, to funding new vaccines and antimicrobials. The path ahead is clear, but the political will is very much in question.
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