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for patients when considering the alternative of waiting for a deceased donor transplant.

This is an important review, showing higher mortality and inferior graft survival within the first 3 years after transplantation in ABO-incompatible living donor renal transplants when compared with ABO-compatible living donor transplants. However, the risk of inferior early outcomes needs to be weighed carefully against the increased morbidity and mortality associated with prolonged waiting times on the transplant list.
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WHO takes action to promote the health of refugees and migrants

Migration is a defining issue of our time.1 There are 1 billion migrants globally, of whom 258 million have crossed borders.2 Climate change and political instability propel ever-greater displacement, with major detriments to health.3 Policies that fail to prevent human trafficking or guarantee essential services to migrants undermine universal health coverage (UHC) and the global pledge in the UN 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development to “leave no one behind”. The World Health Assembly (WHA) on May 20–28, 2019, should adopt, and robustly implement, WHO’s Global Action Plan on Promoting the Health of Refugees and Migrants, 2019–2013 (GAP).4

Migrants who escape from life-threatening conditions at home face manifold health threats. Migration routes can be hazardous, whether traversing desert expanses or open seas. Transit and destination countries often house migrants in unsanitary conditions, increasing the risk of transmission of communicable diseases such as cholera and tuberculosis.5 The safety of migrants is a major concern, including protecting them from sexual assault, trafficking, and forced labour.4 All-cause mortality among migrants is higher in countries with restrictive migration policies.7

Migrants frequently have complex physical and mental conditions but often cannot access high-quality health services.8 Most countries do not include migrants as full beneficiaries in national health coverage. Migrants are often simply invisible because of poor data disaggregation or because they are not registered with the authorities. Undocumented migrants are sometimes classified as “criminals” under national legislation.8 Unless countries change course, migrants’ right to health will not be advanced.9

Specific legal protections of migrants are weak compared with more structured protection afforded to refugees. Human rights apply irrespective of nationality or legal status,10,11 but states often discriminate against migrants. The 1951 Convention relating to the Status of Refugees and its 1967 Protocol guarantee refugees the same social security as nationals, including in the event of sickness.12 However, states must determine if asylum seekers have a genuine fear of persecution. Applicants can languish without a hearing and basic rights while host countries assess applications. The European Union (EU) requires that the first country migrants enter must examine their asylum applications, resulting in disproportionate costs for EU border states.13

Refugee law should be reformed to protect asylum seekers, afford due process, and safeguard the rights and safety of all, encompassing the realities of why people
flee, including environmental degradation and life-threatening poverty. National laws, moreover, frequently deny migrants equal rights to health, education, and social benefits. Only half of Refugee Convention state parties permit refugees to work. States should agree to a framework of assistance to support low-resource nations that receive many refugees or that have large numbers of irregular migrants, and the EU should promote responsibility sharing across member states. All countries should extend equal rights to migrants and refugees.

In 2018, the UN General Assembly adopted two non-binding compacts, the Global Compact on Refugees (GCR) and the Global Compact for Safe, Regular and Orderly Migration (GCM). GCR promotes equitable burden and responsibility sharing, particularly important given that 85% of refugees flee to lower-income countries. For example, Colombia hosts more than 1.2 million migrants fleeing Venezuela, while Bangladesh has absorbed a large exodus of Rohingya people from Myanmar. GCR defends the principle of non-refoulement, which prohibits sending refugees back to places where their lives or freedoms are jeopardised. The GCR supports rights to health, education, food, and other underlying determinants of health. The GCM emphasises migrants’ human rights and building social cohesion, and opposes human trafficking. This compact supports evidence-based policies to foster full inclusion, consistent with WHO’s Framework of Priorities and Guiding Principles to Promote the Health of Refugees and Migrants. Quadrennial International Migration Review Forums will review implementation of the GCM.

The UN established the Network on Migration in 2018 as a system-wide mechanism for GCM implementation. WHO is among the network’s 38 members but does not sit on the Executive Committee. Other Executive Committee members, notably the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights, will need to ensure health is a priority. Similarly, without civil society or migrant representation, network members must incorporate the voices of vulnerable communities and focus on fighting discrimination and xenophobia.

The 2017 WHA directed the Secretariat to develop the GAP to improve the health of migrants and refugees. GAP priorities encompass quality health care, occupational health and safety, mental health, public health, and social determinants of health. The plan emphasises continuity of care from migrants’ domestic conditions, through to their journey, and in their host countries. The GAP advocates for migrants’ health rights, including gender and cultural sensitivity. WHO will support member states on cross-border collaboration, data gathering, and health policy. Additionally, WHO will promote national data systems, evidence-based policies, and education to dispel harmful misperceptions and stereotypes.

The GAP will assist states to implement the global compacts while filling gaps. Importantly, the GAP provides a mandate for WHO to provide global leadership on the health of migrants and refugees. Partnering with the International Labour Organization and the UN High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), WHO should support rights-based legal frameworks. Engagement of civil society, migrants, and refugees would build bottom-up social action while supporting inclusive participation of affected communities.

The GAP operates within existing national legislation, which itself can be the root of unequal access to health care and social protection. WHO, therefore, should advocate for law and policy reform that guarantees equal access to all health and social benefits. National policies that require health workers to inform immigration authorities about a patient’s legal status can deter migrants from seeking health care, and also must be reformed. Beyond support to member states, WHO should assist civil society and migrant organisations to claim their rights and take part in domestic policy making. The GAP recognises inclusive participation, which is a vital element in the right to health.
The GAP, GCM, and GCR offer a historic opportunity to place migrant and refugee health high on the global agenda. A key step is for every state to develop a national action plan to implement the GAP priorities, embedding the health rights of migrants into domestic law. Affording migrants and refugees access to health and social protection on a fully equal basis would transform the lives of some of the world’s most vulnerable people. Migrants’ health will determine human development for decades to come. This is a pivotal moment to fulfil the pledge to UHC and to health equity. One day, many of us will be on the move. We owe it to current and future generations to robustly protect migrants’ and refugees’ health and human rights.
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The Lancet Commission on diagnostics: advancing equitable access to diagnostics

For too long, the crucial role of diagnostics as a foundation of effective and high-quality health care has been neglected. Due to poor access, people in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs) and those among low-income groups in high-income countries have frequently received treatment that is initiated without the support of essential diagnostic tests. All too often, such presumptive treatment leads to poor health outcomes, wastes scarce resources on wrong treatments, fails to develop accurate epidemiological data, and contributes to development of antimicrobial resistance.1-5 Diagnostics are beyond the reach of a vast number of the world’s people. Moreover, the need for access to accurate, affordable, and high-quality diagnostics is increasing with efforts to provide universal health coverage (UHC), growing burdens of non-communicable diseases, ageing populations, and the introduction of therapies that